



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Tredemark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspn.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/484,260	01/18/2000	Thomas C. Gipson	P-355.5(Reisssur)	9484
26271	7590 12/22/2004		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			SUCHFIELD, GEORGE A	
1301 MCKINNEY SUITE 5100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON,	TX 77010-3095		3672	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ļ					
		George Suchfield	3672				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Feiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Å	fav 2004					
2a)□		is action is non-final.					
3)							
Disposition of Claims							
· _	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,5,9 and 12-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 4.5.9 and 12-25 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)[]	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐	disapproved by the Exa	miner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	_			applications.			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3672

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 4, 5, 9 and 12-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 4, as presently amended (accompanying the RCE Request, dated May 25, 2004), includes terms or subject matter not present in the specification and/or original disclosure. More specifically, no basis can be found in the specification or original disclosure for a frame having a "first" end and a "second" end. Only a "front end 36" and "back end 44" are specifically disclosed. Accordingly, this rejection could be overcome if the recitation "a first end and a second end" in line 3 of claim 4 were amended to read — a front end and a back end —.

Claims 5, 9 and 12-24 are similarly rejected as they depend from claim 4. Otherwise, the additional limitations recited in claims 5, 9, 12-16, 18, 19 and 21-24 are deemed supported by the specification and/or original disclosure.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3672

4. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Insofar as parent claim 11 has now been cancelled ("Please cancel claim 1, 2,, 3, 6, 7, 8, 10 and 11 without prejudice."), dependent claim 25 is deemed indefinite since it now depends from a cancelled claim.

- 5. Claims 4, 5, 9 and 12-24 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

More specifically, all the pending claims are now deemed in concurrence with 37 CFR 1.173, i.e., they compare directly to the patent claims, which must be the case with each amendment. Claim 4, however, is still deficient under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in referring to a first end and a second end, rather than a front end and back end, as pointed out in the rejection set forth in Para 2). It further noted that in the REMARKS/ARGUMENTS applicant asserts that claim 11 is pending, however, the accompanying amendment clearly directs claim 11 to be cancelled.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Suchfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 - 3:00).

Application/Control Number: 09/484,260 and 90/005,708

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Suchfield

Page 4

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3672

Gs

November 15, 2004